

REMARKS

Review and reconsideration of the non-final Office Action mailed July 7, 2009 (hereinafter "Office Action") is respectfully requested in view of the above amendment and the following remarks. In the Office Action, claims 2-5, 7-9 and 11-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Characterization of Flaw Location, Shape and Dimensions with the ALOK System*, Grohs, et al. (Grohs). In addition, claims 6, 10 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grohs in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,375,165 to Sterke (Sterke).

New independent claim 15 has been added, which incorporates the subject matter of original claim 1 and dependent claims 2-5. No new matter has been added. Grohs does not teach the step of displaying the inspection or test results. Moreover, Grohs does not describe the display of A-scans of two different angles and to show certain legs of the sound path differently. Grohs also fails to teach the concept of showing flaw signals differently according to the sound path and/or the leg from which they originate.

As the amendments appear to overcome all pending rejections, the independent claim is believed to be allowable. In addition, the dependent claims are believed to be allowable due to their dependence on an allowable base claim and for further features recited therein. The application is believed to be in condition for immediate allowance. If any issues remain outstanding, Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned (direct line 561-838-5229 x232) if it is believed that a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the application to an allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

AKERMAN SENTERFITT

Date: October 7, 2009

/Larry G. Brown/

J. Rodman Steele, Jr., Reg. No. 24, 25,931
Larry G. Brown, Reg. No. 45,834
525 Okeechobee Blvd., Fifteenth Floor
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone: 561-838-5229 x228
Fax: 561-838-5578

Docket No.: 200-101